

American Fisheries Society
Southern Division

RESOLUTION ON THE INCLUSION OF HYDROLOGIC
ALTERATION AS AN IMPAIRMENT IN STATE WATER
QUALITY STANDARDS

Whereas, aquatic resources are facing ever-increasing threats, including urbanization, changing and warming climate, population growth, and increased water demand, perhaps the most detrimental of these threats is insufficient water in lakes and reservoirs and insufficient flow in streams and rivers;

Whereas, the Southern Division, American Fisheries Society (SDAFS) and the American Fisheries Society (AFS) adopted resolutions on the development of instream flow programs in 2007, and 2008, respectively (SDAFS 2007, AFS 2008);

Whereas, state water quality standards are important criteria that are used to stipulate water quality parameters that protect the designated use classifications, including that of providing for fishable and swimmable waters;

Whereas, historically state water quality standards have focused on water quality (e.g. dissolved oxygen and temperature) and pollutants by specifying criteria water chemistry parameters and maximum pollutant levels in either quantitative (numeric) or qualitative (narrative) terms;

Whereas, we recognize and acknowledge the importance of setting concentration limits of such constituents in our waters, scientific research has documented that water quality standards that lack incorporation of environmental or natural flows and sufficient water levels result in inadequate and incomplete standards. These standards merely provide for an impaired or an unattained beneficial use criteria for fish and wildlife populations (see Annear et al. 2004). Such state water quality standards are inadequate for providing and protecting the amounts and quality of lotic and lentic habitat needed for sustaining healthy, functioning, resilient, and viable, aquatic ecosystems. Such deficient standards compromise and violate the intents and purposes of establishing such standards;

Whereas, some states utilize a drought flow statistic, such as the 7Q10, to provide minimum flows in their water quality standards, there is no direct relationship between 7Q10 and aquatic life protection (Camp Dresser & McKee 1986). The main purpose of these design flows is to determine pollutant discharge values or limits rather than to support the flow requirements of aquatic ecosystems (USEPA 1991);

Whereas, the AFS adopted Policy Statement #9 – Effects of Altered Stream Flows on Fisheries Resources which states “The concept of ‘minimum flows’ and other low flow standards based on statistical records instead of biology (whereby it is assumed that

American Fisheries Society
Southern Division

RESOLUTION ON THE INCLUSION OF HYDROLOGIC
ALTERATION AS AN IMPAIRMENT IN STATE WATER
QUALITY STANDARDS

needs of stream fishes can be met as long as some water remains) are seriously outdated”;

Whereas, literature reviews summarizing the biological responses to altered flows (Bunn and Arthington 2002; Petts 2009; Poff and Zimmerman 2010; Carlisle et al. 2011) show among other responses, overall reduction in the abundance and diversity of fish and macroinvertebrates, excessive growth of aquatic vegetation, reduced growth of riparian vegetation, and shifts in aquatic and riparian species composition;

Whereas, a meta-analysis of research in the South Atlantic United States (McManamay et al. 2013) showed that fish, macroinvertebrates, and riparian vegetation often responded negatively to induced flow alterations;

Whereas, flow alteration can be a primary contributor to the impairment of water bodies that are designed to support aquatic life (Novak et al. 2016);

Whereas, the US Environmental Protection Agency (USEPA) and the US Geological Survey (USGS) have described the effects of flow alteration on designated uses in streams and rivers; provided examples of states (Kentucky, Missouri, New Hampshire, New York, Rhode Island, Tennessee, Vermont, and Virginia) and Indian tribes (Bad River Band of the Lake Superior Tribe of Chippewa Indians and Seminole Tribe) that have narrative flow criteria in their water quality standards; and provided a nonprescriptive framework that can be used to quantify flow targets to protect aquatic life from the effects associated with hydrologic alteration (Novak et al. 2016). Missouri’s water quality criteria states “Waters shall be free from physical, chemical, or hydrologic changes that would impair the natural biological community”. New York’s water quality criteria states “There shall be no alteration to flow that will impair the waters for their best usages”. Virginia’s water quality criteria states “Man-made alterations in stream flow shall not contravene designated uses including protection of the propagation and growth of aquatic life”;

Whereas, the USEPA has provided guidance (Best-Wong 2015) to the states when waters are impaired due to “pollution not caused by a pollutant” (i.e. Category 4C), such as hydrologic alteration caused by, for example, water diversions, impoundments, and extreme high flows leading to loss of habitat, or impacts from such to designated uses but no narrative or numeric water quality criteria can be assessed. The USEPA

American Fisheries Society
Southern Division

RESOLUTION ON THE INCLUSION OF HYDROLOGIC
ALTERATION AS AN IMPAIRMENT IN STATE WATER
QUALITY STANDARDS

states that “data and/or information documenting significant hydrologic or habitat alteration could be used to make a use attainment decision for an impairment due to pollution not caused by a pollutant and should be collected, evaluated, and reported as appropriate”:

Therefore, Be It Resolved, that the members of the Southern Division of the American Fisheries Society assembled here on the 25th day of January 2019, in Galveston, Texas at their annual business meeting do hereby:

Strongly urge that state fish and wildlife agencies, federal agencies and tribal agencies recommend that state environmental quality agencies incorporate either numeric or narrative flow criteria in all future revisions of their state water quality standards as required under the Clean Water Act section 303(c) to protect aquatic ecosystems from the effects of hydrologic alteration; and

Strongly urge that state fish and wildlife agencies, federal agencies and tribal agencies recommend that state environmental quality agencies follow and use the guidance provided by the USEPA to incorporate either numeric or narrative flow criteria into their state water quality standards as soon as possible.